### **Magneto-convection**

### Åke Nordlund Niels Bohr Institute University of Copenhagen

with

Anders Lagerfjärd, Fernando Moreno-Insertis

Werner Schaffenberger, Bob Stein, Göran Scharmer

# Summary of main points



- Stellar magneto-convection is fundamentally *multi-scale*
- But also has *scale invariance* to some extent
- Two asymptotic regimes; small and large
  - The Sun + simulations prove that *turbulent dissipation converges* at relatively low Re
- Implications for sunspots and penumbrae
  More on Thursday ...

### **Overall Solar Structure**



### **Convection Zone**



### Mass conservation





Rising fluid must turn over and descend within about a scale height to conserve mass. The actual mixing (entrainment) length in simulations is 1.8 H<sub>P.</sub>

## **Mean stratification**





## **Ionization of H and He**







# **Velocity patterns**





#### Upflows at surface come from small area at bottom (left)

#### **Downflows at surface converge to supergranule boundaries (right)**





#### Temperature patterns at four different depths





### Velocities at the same depths





#### Large scale solar convection (48x48x20 Mm) -- Stein & Nordlund 2008

Finite lifetime corks, on top of color ~ velocity magnitude



#### Large scale solar convection (48x48x20 Mm) -- Stein & Nordlund 2008

Blue and red show up and down velocity



## Vorticity







# Synthetic solar spectral lines – a crucial fingerprint!



Spatially resolved spectral line profiles



# Accurate match; widths, shapes and shifts!



- <u>Requires</u> that both *temperature and velocity* amplitudes are accurate!
- Proves that dissipation is practically identical at model and solar Reynolds numbers!



# Solar velocity spectrum – observations and simulations





### oscillation power (red), convective power (black) – time average (blue)



# Near-scale free surface magnetic flux distribution





# Magnetic field patterns and distributions also show self-similarity





Figure 1. Illustration of pattern self-similarity over a large dynamic range. The La Palma high-resolution magnetogram (left panel), obtained on 9 February 1996, covers an area at the center of the quiet Sun that is only 0.35% of the area covered by the MDI magnetogram in the right panel, obtained on 20 March 2002. The spatial scale is given in arcsec. The grey scale cuts are identical for the two magnetograms: white represents +100 G, dark -100 G. Although the MDI magnetogram contains many active regions with sunspots, both the morphology and field-strength distribution are similar in the two magnetograms.

#### Stenflo & Holzreuter 2002

### Magnetic field patterns and distributions also show self-similarity



-resolutionSun that is 2002. The represents s, both the

Stenflo & Holzreuter 2002

Figure 1. magnetogra only 0.35 % spatial scale +100 G, da

# Velocity driving for the corona



Velocity spectrum from • three superposed ensembles





# Coronal heating



B: 4.5 Mm A: 0.3 Mm



#### Local dynamo action in surface convection

#### (Vögler & Schüssler, 2007)







Boundary work

- Poynting flux: energy input; e.g. boundary work
- Lorentz work: drives, supports energy dissipation
- Joule dissipation: supports radiative losses

#### **Distributed dynamo action Energy Balance** Poynting Flux 2 -oynting flux $[10^7 \text{ erg om}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}]$ 10 000 - 2 1.000 × L ● K - 6 • 0.100 rete $\mathbf{F} = -(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}$ $W = -\mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B})$ -8 0.010 $Q_J = \eta | \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$ -10 -11 200 400 height [km] height [km]

Negative Poynting flux

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}/(W - Q_J) \approx 0.8$ 

- $\rightarrow\,$  Convective pumping  $\,$  into deeper layers  $\,$
- $\rightarrow$  large part of net energy input lost due to downward pumping

# **Generalize this!**



- Likely that there is net small scale dynamo action at each depth in the CZ!
  - Net magnetic energy delivered to the next layer
- On the largest scale = largest depths (and only there) differential rotation enables a large scale
  - global dynamo action
  - With buoyancy eventually pushing the field back up



The scaling of average (full drawn) and fluctuating magnetic field components (x:dotted, y:dashed, z:dot-dashed) after 25 solar hours.

### 24x24x20 Mm magnetoconvection Horizontal boundary field

A2





SI	id	e	3	1
-		-	-	_

A2 Aake, 6/22/2008

# Multi-scale convection; 24x24x20 Mm (cut-out)

A1





C	F.	Ы	0	2	7
9	-	u	C	9	_

A1 Aake, 6/22/2008

# Multi-scale magneto-convection; initially horizontal field























# View from above; sheared field lines





# St. Andrews' team; Archontis ...





# "Classical" emerging flux studies



# Near-scale free surface magnetic flux distribution





# Magneto-convection: Pores and sunspots





# Temperature, hor. & vert. magn. field, hor. & vert. velocity, surface intensity





# Sunspot, initial time evolution





# Sunspot, time evolution (rep.)





### Sunspot, log magnetic pressure





### Sunspots w/o Penumbrae Penumbrae w/o Sunspots





# **Cross section of model**

#### Field Strength (G) at t = 0



#### Field Strength (G) at t = 1.8 h



2000 4000 6000

# Snapshot, with dark filaments

y

2

Х

#### Surface Intensity



Heinemann, Nordlund, Scharmer & Spruit 2007 Scharmer, Nordlund & Heinemann 2008

6

10

12

# Zoom in on dark filaments, from the side



# Magnetic field lines and vertical velocity (image plane)



# Velocity field and magnetic field strength (image plane)



# Velocity field and magnetic field strength (image plane)

The **Evershed effect** is caused by the horizontal component of magnetoconvection, just barely reaching the optical penumbra surface – see the Scharmer & Nordlund **talk on Thursday** for details!

# Summary



- Stellar magneto-convection is fundamentally multi-scale
  - Major difficulty for modeling and understanding!
- But also has ~ scale invariance
  - That helps a lot!
- Two asymptotic regimes; small and large
  - Approx. self-similarity also in B, flare event size,...
- The Sun + simulations provide *proof* that dissipation converges at relatively low Re
  - Similar behavior expected for magnetic dissipation



# **Thanks for your attention!**



# Acknowledgments

The results presented here were made possible by hardware grants to ÅN from the Danish Center for Scientific Computing, and by Columbia computing resource grants to Bob Stein from NASA / NAS.

Visualizations partly done with VAPOR from NCAR.