## Measuring the hidden aspects of solar magnetism

J.O. Stenflo Institute of Astronomy ETH Zurich, Switzerland







Evershed meeting, Bangalore, 3 December 2008

## 100 years cosmic magnetic fields

#### George Ellery Hale discovered 1908 the Zeeman splitting in sunspots







#### H.W. Babcock 1953, The Solar Magnetograph, ApJ 118, 387



Fig. 5.—Magnetograms of the sun. The axis is vertical, with north at the top. East is at the right. For each trace there is a straight fiducial line. A deflection equal to the interval between traces indicates that  $H \cos \gamma$  is about 1 gauss at the center of the disk, 2 gauss at the limb. For upward deflections  $H \cos \gamma$  is toward the observer. Evidence for the sun's general magnetic field is shown by the opposite deflections on the traces nearest the north and south poles. The only visible sunspot group on July 19 was at 54° W., 8° S. The length of the slit (0.04 of the sun's diameter) is approximately equal to the interval between traces.



#### 18 Mar 2000



## Magnetograms of the active and quiet Sun

Question: What would the field look like with infinite resolution 1000

To answer this question the line-ratio technique was introduced in 1971 26 Feb 2000







9 February 1996





Evershed meeting, Bangalore, 3 December 2008

J.O. Stenflo

# For the interpretation of the line-ratio data a 2-component model was introduced



Since with the two-component model *B* is found to be 1 - 2 kG, while a is typically about 1%, the concept of intermittent magnetic flux tubes was introduced

The flux tubes became the theoretical counterpart of the 2-component model



Evershed meeting, Bangalore, 3 December 2008



M. Bünte, A&A 276, 236 (1993), M. Bünte et al., A&A 268, 736 (1993)

Evershed meeting, Bangalore, 3 December 2008

Institute of Astronomy ETH Zurich Evidence for the validity of the 2-component model came from

- linearity and small spread of the points in the scatter plot,
- profile shape of line ratio (from FTS data) as theoretically expected,
- uniqueness of line-ratio values, later supported by infrared data.



Implication: Non-magnetic component occupying 99% of the volume !!

However, it was from the beginning clear that the concept of a "non-magnetic" component is unphysical in the highly conducting solar atmosphere. It was only introduced for mathematical convenience to bring out the flux tube component.

The next quest therefore was to determine the magnetic properties of this "hidden" component.

This problem found its solution with the Hanle effect.



Evershed meeting, Bangalore, 3 December 2008

Wilhelm Hanle visits ETH Zurich in 1983 on the occasion of the 60<sup>th</sup> anniversary of his effect, discovered in Göttingen in 1923

Topic of discussion: Quantum interference between the Ca II H and K lines, recorded at Kitt Peak in 1978







Evershed meeting, Bangalore, 3 December 2008







**ETH Zurich** 

## **Hanle effect**

#### Influence of magnetic fields on the scattering polarization

Precession of the oscillator around the magnetic field vector

ETH Zurich



Hanle effect

Ca I 4227 Å, a chromospheric line





## Difference in Hanle signatures between photospheric and chromospheric lines





#### Scattering polarization in CN lines in magnetic environments: 3771 – 3775 Å

CN lines on limb side of spot at W limb,  $\mu$ =0.10





Institute of Astronomy

ETH Zurich

Evershed meeting, Bangalore, 3 December 2008

# Extension of the 2-component model through use of the Hanle effect



Magnetic component, filling factor **a**, field strength **B** 

Not a "non-magnetic" atmosphere but a mixed-polarity, tangled or turbulent field



## **Resulting "standard model"**

- Flux tubes expanding with height, forming canopies above the photosphere. Contribute to the Zeeman effect.
- Weaker tangled or turbulent field in between. No information from the Zeeman effect, but accessible with the Hanle effect.



This dualistic scenario is however an artefact of applying two diagnostic tools, which are highly complementary: the Zeeman and Hanle effects. The real world is not dualistic.



## New paradigm: fractal magnetic fields,

### described by probability distribution functions (PDFs)





#### La Palma magnetogram **9 February 1996**

#### **MDI** magnetogram 20 March 2002

The area of the left magnetogram is only 0.35 % of the area covered by the right one (scale in arcsec)



Evershed meeting, Bangalore, 3 December 2008

J.O. Stenflo

**Probability distribution** 

#### From Stenflo & Holzreuter 2002



## Estimate of the lower end of the scale spectrum

Magnetic Reynolds number  $R_m = \mu_0 \sigma \ell_c v_c$ 

**Spitzer conductivity**  $\sigma = 10^{-3} T^{3/2}$  (SI units)

Kolmogorov turbulence (inertial range)  $v_c = k \ell_c^{1/3}$  (where *k* is a constant)

With  $R_m = 1$  at the diffusion limit, and k = 25(corresponding to 2.5 km/s for  $\ell_c = 1000$  km), we get

$$\boldsymbol{\ell}_{\mathrm{diff}}$$
 = 1 / (  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \, \boldsymbol{k}$ )<sup>3/4</sup> , or

$$\ell_{\rm diff} = 5 \times 10^5 / T^{9/8}$$

### For T = 10,000 K we get





## Fractal magnetic fields on the quiet Sun



The apparent voids are no voids at all, but are teeming with turbulent magnetic fields (*Trujillo Bueno, Shchukina, Asensio Ramos ; Stenflo Nature 2004*).

This conclusion is based on the application of the Hanle effect in Sr I 4607 Å. Assuming a certain shape of the PDF, Trujillo Bueno et al. find hidden and ubiquitous, tangled magnetic flux with an average strength of about 130 G





The anisotropy of the radiation field (top panels) and the  $C_2$  abundance (bottom panels) are closely correlated with the upflowing regions in the solar granulation

Trujillo Bueno, Shchukina, and Asensio Ramos (2004) conclude from comparing Sr I and  $C_2$  Hanle depolarization that the turbulent field is strongly localized in the intergranular lanes.



Diagnostic tools for dealing with magnetic probability distribution functions in Stokes vector observations are currently being developed at IIA in Bangalore



## Zeeman line formation in solar magnetic fields

Studies with empirical probability distribution functions

M. Sampoorna<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, K. N. Nagendra<sup>1,2</sup>, H. Frisch<sup>2</sup>, and J. O. Stenflo<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Indian Institute of Astrophysics, Koramangala, Bangalore 560 034, India e-mail: sampoorna@iiap.res.in

<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire Cassiopée, CNRS, Université de Nice, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, BP 4229, 06304 Nice Cedex 4, France

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Astronomy, ETH Zürich, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland



High-precision imaging polarimetry has opened the door to a new world of observational solar physics.

- The Second Solar Spectrum is the vast playground for the Hanle effect.
- It opens a new window to explorations of solar magnetism. Here I have tried to show how we need to go beyond the "standard model" to a new paradigm based on distribution functions for a fractal-like field.
- For the optimum choice of Zeeman-Hanle interpretational models for the "hidden" fields we need to explore the scaling laws for the distribution functions of field strength and field orientation.

Our journey into this new world is just beginning!





#### Examples from the "second solar spectrum"



Evershed meeting, Bangalore, 3 December 2008

**ETH Zurich** 

Scattering by yttrium, europium, and barium



#### The Y I, Eu II, and Ba II lines that show pronounced scattering polarization appear to be immune to the Zeeman effect



Evershed meeting, Bangalore, 3 December 2008

## Hyperfine structure and isotope effects





#### Evidence for optical pumping that creates ground-state atomic polarization



Evershed meeting, Bangalore, 3 December 2008



Hanle depolarization by spatially unresolved turbulent magnetic

Fig. 10.4. Magnetic depolarization  $k_H^{(2)}$  vs.  $B_0/(k_c^{(2)}B_t)$  for a turbulent field of strength  $B_t$ with an isotropic distribution of field vectors (solid line) and a randomly oriented field that is confined to the horizontal plane (dashed line).  $B_0$  represents a field strength for which the Larmor precession rate equals the inverse radiative lifetime of the excited state.  $k_c^{(2)}$  is the branching ratio representing the probability that the alignment of the excited state will not be destroyed during the scattering process. From Stenflo (1982).

#### Hanle rotation in Sr I 4607 Å and Ba II 4554 Å, as observed with ZIMPOL on THEMIS



