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Outline:
• Solar cycle: Observational characteristics
• MHD: Some basic concepts
• Historical development of ideas
• A typical kinematic $\alpha\Omega$ dynamo model
• Outstanding issues…..
Magnetic Field as Tracers of the Solar Cycle

- Number of sunspots observed on the Sun varies cyclically
- However, there are large fluctuations in the amplitude
- Equatorward migration of sunspots
- Poleward migration of surface radial field
- Polar field reversal at time of sunspot maximum
- Both have an average periodicity of 11 years
Window to the Solar Interior: Plasma Motions

- Interior temperature exceeds a million degrees
- Matter exists in the plasma state (highly ionized)
- Convection zone has both small-scale turbulent motions and large-scale structured flows
- We are dealing with the dynamics of magnetized plasmas....
Some Issues in MHD: The Induction Equation and Flux Freezing

• Governing equation:

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) + \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}
\]

• Magnetic Reynolds Number:

\[
R_m = \frac{VB / L}{\eta B / L^2} = \frac{VL}{\eta}
\]

• In Astrophysical systems, \( R_M \) usually high, magnetic fields move with plasma – flux is frozen (Alfven, 1942)

• Magnetoconvection (Chandrasekhar 1952, Weiss 1981) – convective region gets separated into non-magnetic and magnetic space – the latter constitutes flux tubes
Historical Development – Toroidal Field Generation (Omega Effect)

- Differential rotation will stretch a pre-existing poloidal field in the direction of rotation – creating a toroidal component (Parker 1955)
Historical Development – Magnetic Buoyancy and Sunspot Formation

• Stability of Toroidal Flux Tubes – Magnetic Buoyancy (Parker 1955)

\[
P_E = P_I + \frac{B^2}{8\pi}
\]

\[\rho_{\text{Internal}} < \rho_{\text{External}}\]

• Buoyant eruption, Coriolis force imparts tilts

• Where is the toroidal field stored and amplified?
  – Convection zone susceptible to buoyancy, ruled out (Parker 1975)
  – In the overshoot layer, at base of convection zone (Spiegel & Weiss 1980; van Ballegooijen 1982)
• Small scale helical convection – Mean-Field $\alpha$-effect (Parker 1955)
• Buoyantly rising toroidal field is twisted by helical turbulent convection, creating loops in the poloidal plane
• The small-scale loops diffuse to generate a large-scale poloidal field
Last Two Decade – Flux Tube Dynamics and a Crisis in Dynamo Theory

• Simulations of flux tube dynamics (Choudhuri & Gilman 1987; D’Silva & Choudhuri, 1993; Fan, Fisher & DeLuca 1993) and flux storage (Moreno-Insertis, Schüssler & Ferriz-Mas 1992) pointed out flux tube strength at base of SCZ must be \( \approx 10^5 \) G

• Equipartition field strength in convection zone \( \approx 10^4 \) G

• Small-scale helical convection will get quenched – alternative ideas for poloidal field generation necessary
The Modern Era: Revival of the Babcock-Leighton Idea

- Babcock (1961) & Leighton (1969) idea – decay of tilted bipolar sunspots – distinct from the MF $\alpha$-effect – and is observed
- Numerous models have been constructed based on the BL idea (Choudhuri et al. 1995, Durney 1997, Dikpati & Charbonneau 1999, Nandy & Choudhuri 2001, 2002, Chatterjee et al. 2004…)
• Differential rotation in the interior determined from helioseismology, strongest rotational shear in tachocline at SCZ base
• Poleward meridional circulation observed in the outer 15%, mass conservation requires counterflow – possibly near SCZ base
Building an Axisymmetric Kinematic $\alpha\Omega$ Dynamo Model

- Axisymmetric Magnetic Fields:
  \[ B = Be_\phi + \nabla \times (Ae_\phi) \]

- Axisymmetric Velocity Fields:
  \[ \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_p + r \sin \theta \Omega e_\phi \]

- Plug these into the Induction Equation:
  \[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B} - \eta \nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \]
  
  to obtain…..
Building a Dynamo Model: The $\alpha\Omega$ Dynamo Equations

- Toroidal field evolution:

$$\frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r v_r B_\phi \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( v_\theta B_\phi \right) \right] = \eta \left( \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \right) B_\phi + r \sin \theta \left( B_P \cdot \nabla \right) \Omega - \nabla \eta \times \left( \nabla \times B_\phi \right)$$

- Poloidal field evolution:

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \left( v_P \cdot \nabla \right) (r \sin \theta A) = \eta \left( \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \right) A + S_{\alpha}$$

- Where the BL alpha effect $S_{\alpha} = \alpha B_\phi$ acts on erupted toroidal fields

- Often, the alpha-term includes quenching, to limit field amplitude
Building a Dynamo Model: Typical Model Inputs

- Analytic fit to helioseismically observed differential rotation
- Single-cell meridional flow that matches near surface observations
- A depth dependent diffusivity profile
- A functional form for the BL $\alpha$-effect (confined to near surface layers)
- Magnetic buoyancy algorithm (transports fields to surface layers)
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What Determines Dynamo Amplitude and Period in these Models?

**Amplitude** (If $\alpha$-effect source term and quenching field is fixed):
- Primary constraint: Critical threshold for buoyancy ($B_c$)
- Therefore peak toroidal field at base of SCZ $\sim B_c \sim 10^5$ G

**Period:**
- The speed of the meridional circulation sets the dynamo cycle period
- Note: Period is governed by slowest process in the dynamo chain
And the Rosy Picture is…

• Using observed large-scale flows (kinematic regime), we can reproduce the observed large-scale magnetic field evolution reasonably well

• Then perhaps we are getting some aspects of the physics right???

• So let's make some predictions for the next cycle…
**Fluctuations, Memory & Solar Cycle Predictions**

- Flux transport takes finite time = time-delay = memory mechanism (Charbonneau & Dikpati 2000; Wilmot-Smith et al. 2006)
- Dikpati et al. (2006) predict a very strong solar cycle 24, Choudhuri et al. (2007) predict a much weaker solar cycle 24!
Solar Cycle Predictions: What Leads to Different Predictions?
(Stochastically Forced Model: Yeates, Nandy & Mackay 2008)

- Memory of fluctuations different in diffusive and advective regimes
- Diffusive flux transport short-circuits advective flux transport
- Differing memory leads to different predictions for the next cycle
Outstanding Issues: Parameterization of Turbulent Diffusivity

- Mixing-length theory suggests much higher turbulent diffusivity values ($10^{12-13}$ cm²/s) than currently used in the so-called “flux-transport” solar dynamo models ($10^{10-11}$ cm²/s). Such high values will invariably make the SCZ diffusion dominated.

High Diffusivity bad for FT Dynamos
- Short-circuits meridional flow
- Reduces cycle period
- Shortens cycle memory
- Difficult for flux storage

Possible Resolutions
- Quenching of turbulent diffusivity
- Downward flux pumping
Outstanding Issues: Inclusion of Turbulent Flux Pumping

- Preferential downward pumping of magnetic flux, in the presence of rotating, stratified convection – usually ignored in kinematic dynamos
- Suggests typical downward velocity $\sim 10$ m/s (Tobias et al. 2001)
- Will affect flux transport, flux-storage, cycle-period (Guererro & Dal Pino 2008) and plausibly solar cycle memory
Outstanding Issues: Meridional Circulation Profile

• Meridional Circulation: One cell? Multi-cellular? Intermittent?
• Full MHD numerical simulations often generate multi-cellular and variable flow profiles (Miesch et al. 2000, Browning et al. 2006)
• Multi-cellular flows profoundly alter magnetic butterfly diagrams and dynamo-periods (Jouve & Brun 2007); will affect flux transport
Outstanding Issues: Which $\alpha$-effect and Where?

- Differential rotation instability (tachocline) [Dikpati & Gilman 2001]
- Buoyancy Instability (Base of SCZ) [Ferriz-Mas et al 1994]
- Mean-Field $\alpha$-effect (SCZ) [Parker 1955]

- Is a combination of $\alpha$-effects working together?
- If yes, which is dominant?
- The fact that the solar cycle recovered from the Maunder minimum requires the presence of an $\alpha$-effect that can work on weak fields
The Bottom-line: A Story of (Communication) Timescales

Flux Transport Timescales

- Meridional Flow (20 m/s)  \[ \tau_v = 10 \text{ yrs} \]
- Turbulent Diffusion (5 x10^{12} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s})  \[ \tau_\eta = 2.8 \text{ yrs} \]
- Turbulent Pumping (v =10 m/s)  \[ \tau_{\text{pumping}} = 0.67 \text{ yrs} \]

- Relative locations of the two source-layers (Ω and α-effects)?  
  - depends on what kind of α-effect is the main poloidal field source
- Which physical process defines \( T_0 \)?  
  - Flux-transport dynamics, cycle-period, memory (and by extension any predictions) will depend on that
- Kinematic dynamos have to confront these issues
Conclusions

• We have learnt much in the last 50 years since Eugene Parker first presented a kinematic dynamo model of the solar cycle in 1955

• However, we are also realizing that there is much more that we do not know, specifically about the interplay between individual physical processes that together constitute the dynamo mechanism

• But we are beginning to understand and address those deficiencies…. 